Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/755,544	POUPART ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael P. Barker	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Michael P. Barker.	(3)	
(2) <u>David Dow</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 February 2006	Time: <u>12:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 28-32		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
M-JP-89		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- 1. In Claim 31, line 1, p. 17, after the words, "method of treating", delete the words, "or preventing".
- 2. In Claim 32, line 1, p. 17, after the words, "method of treating", delete the words, "or preventing".
- 3. In Claim 33, line 1, p. 17, after the words, "for the treatment", delete the words, "or prevention"...